

PDC593
FOR INFORMATION
WARD(S): GENERAL

PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

5th October 2005

PLANNING APPEALS – SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT

Contact Officer: John Hearn Tel No: 01962 848354

RECENT REFERENCES:

Report PDC575 – Planning Appeals (East) - 27 July 2005

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report provides a summary of appeal decisions received during July 2005, as requested by members at the EPIC meeting in March 2003. Copies of each appeal decision are available in the Members Room if required.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1 That the report be noted.

PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

5 October 2005

PLANNING APPEALS – SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT

Detail:

A summary of appeal decisions received during July 2005 for sites within Development Control Area East is set out below:

1.1 July 2005 Appeal Decisions for Development Control Area East

Date	Site	Decision	Proposal	Issues
18/07/05	ENF 03/533: 4 Culverwell Gardens Winchester	Dismissed	The erection of a conservatory	The conservatory exceeds 50 cubic metres in volume and therefore requires planning permission. Due to its excessive size, use of modern materials and extensive areas of glass, it would appear incongruous and out of place, being detrimental to the settled character of the appeal building and the conservation area. The conservatory is also intrusive and detrimental to the outlook available from adjoining properties and if it were allowed to remain may set a precedent for similar structures which would completely alter the character of the area. An application for costs against the Council failed. DEL WR
21/07/05	W00566/04: Stratton Copse Main Road Colden Common	Dismissed	Siting of one new mobile home	The proposal to locate an additional mobile home on the land is contrary to local plan policy and there are no material considerations that outweigh this. DEL WR
21/07/05	W07123/02: Foulis Court Lodge Main Road Fishers Pond	Dismissed	Two storey side extension	The floorspace, height and mass of the proposed extension would exceed that of the host dwelling. This would be contrary to local plan policy C19 which seeks to limit the

				extension of smaller rural dwellings to 25% of the original floorspace in order to retain smaller properties in the countryside. The extension would also result in an increase in visual intrusion. DEL WR
--	--	--	--	--

DEL Delegated decision
CTTE Committee decision
WR Written representations
IH Informal hearing
PI Public inquiry

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

2 CORPORATE STRATEGY (RELEVANCE TO):

2.2 Success on appeal is a measure of quality. It demonstrates that the policies of the development plan and the decisions reached by officers and members can be successfully defended.

3 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

3.1 The number of appeals received and the success of appeals has an impact on staff time and legal costs.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS:

None

APPENDICES:

None